#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 1**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 6**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 7**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 13**

I support the proposed changes.

However will this change really change drivers bad parking.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 18**

I support the proposed changes.

See above, I absolutely agree with with this.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 24**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay is essential for people who need to nip into Tesco quickly and then leave, the car park behind rarely has spaces available adn the highstreet is wide enough buses to pull and traffic to get through.

Rather than restricting parking in the loading bay after 12pm, why not move the bus stop further up the highstreet.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 34**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 37**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 41**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 42**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 53**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 56**

I object to the proposed changes.

This will prevent elderly shoppers from being able to be picked up at Tesco once they have their shopping and will force them to go to the big out-of-town supermarkets, resulting in the West Malling shop becoming uneconomic.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 57**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 61**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 65**

I object to the proposed changes.

If people are breaking the rules as it is, why will extending the time help? All you'll do is to further remove the ability for people to drive to West Malling to use what few facilities remain. What's the point of having that space as a loading bay until 8pm when it only services Tesco and they only get one delivery a day? Do any of you have a clue what you're doing?

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 68**

I object to the proposed changes.

There isn't enough parking on the High Street as there is, without taking even more

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 70**

I support the proposed changes.

A dangerous area for parking

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 72**

I object to the proposed changes.

I, and hundreds of others will simply not be able to use West Malling High Street as quickly and as easily as so many of us do now. Businesses will lose so much business from this appalling suggestion.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 74**

Joint Transportation Board – 4th March 2024

I object to the proposed changes.

Not charges for parking in west malling high street , will impact parents dropping children to pre schools and local residents wanting to pop into shops , will cause business to close

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 75**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 76**

I object to the proposed changes.

This problem in this paragraph relates to people already breaking the rules, so you should punish them rather than changing the rules that only the law abiding members of society will be annoyed by. This will just mean those that dont care about the rules will still park there and it just inconveniences the honest people.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 77**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 78**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 79**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 82**

I object to the proposed changes.

I have lived in West Malling area for 50 years and have always local the local high street but I refuse to pay for parking in West Malling so if you start charging I will no longer use west malling

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 83**

I support the proposed changes.

For all of the above reasons.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 85**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 89**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 93**

I object to the proposed changes.

Not enough parking in the high street already. Just improve signage to ensure people do not park there until after noon.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 95**

I object to the proposed changes.

There aren't enough parking spaces anyway. Why would we want to loose more? Please fine the double yellow line parkers, you'll make much more money and punish the law breakers.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 98**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 102**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 104

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 105**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 106**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 107**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 109**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 110**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 112**

I support the proposed changes.

I support alterations that enable safe parking for delivery lorries, but not for just over 3.5 ton.

## On-Street Response - Reference Number 113

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 116**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 121**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 123**

I object to the proposed changes.

Parking is in such high demand in West Malling that it doesn't make sense to lose parking spaces, unless the loading bay was in frequent use (I don't recall often seeing HGVs in west malling in the afternoon, it tends to be more van delivery drivers). Maybe there should be better enforcement if people are using it outside of the designated times?

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 124**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 126**

I object to the proposed changes.

If there is nowhere to park, no one will support the shops or takeaways etc.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 127**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 135**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 139**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 141**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 143**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 147**

I object to the proposed changes.

This provides vital parking for those caring for people with special needs. They can shop at Tescos or Boots without a long walk. I oppose this change.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 148**

I support the proposed changes.

It's clearer and safet

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 150**

I object to the proposed changes.

We should be encouraging taking heavy commercial vehicles off our roads during the day, however the proposal would do precisely the opposite.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 151**

I object to the proposed changes.

As above - It will hamper businesses by people NOT coming into West Malling if they have to pay.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 152**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 161**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 162**

I object to the proposed changes.

Lorries only really deliver in the morning.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 164**

I object to the proposed changes.

I believe that if you want to use this as a loading bay you need to manage this and require that all lorries deilvering use this.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 170**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 174**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 175**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 180**

I object to the proposed changes.

Most goods vehicle deliver early, why take parking away for the rest of the day!!!!

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 184**

I object to the proposed changes.

The High Street should give priority to residents and shoppers. Instead of reducing parking capacity in the town centre, Tesco should schedule deliveries for off peak periods - say after midnight - to the rear of the store.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 186**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 187**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 189**

(no comment supplied).

N/A

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 194**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 195**

I support the proposed changes.

I agree we must stop people using these spaces

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 200**

I support the proposed changes.

Yes, parking of cars here is dangerous and causes issues for buses.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 202**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 210**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 211**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 213**

I object to the proposed changes.

Being able to park outside Tesco has always been very convenient for elderly people like me who may have heavy shopping at times. Please do not take this facility away!!

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 218**

I object to the proposed changes.

Traffic wardens keep these bays clear...

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 219**

I object to the proposed changes.

What lorry will be delivering to Tescos until 8pm at night? This is completely unnecessary and will only worsen the parking situation, removing bays that are constantly used by residents. As a resident I now see the revenue officers every day. It would be better for then to continue their (in my opinion, unnecessary) job and ticket people when not complying with parking rules rather than take away even more parking spaces. It is residents who generally use these spaces and taking them away will negatively impact these residents.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 222**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay is only used by deliveries to Tesco in the early morning - why remove much needed car parking spaces beyond that time?

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 223**

I support the proposed changes.

The alterations will have an impact on disabled people parking as close to the store as possible and the number of disabled parking spaces on street may need to be increased.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 224**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 228**

I support the proposed changes.

Long overdue current use is dangerous.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 230**

I object to the proposed changes.

Most of the time the goods vehicles have done there delivery early enough to not needed this unfortunately we are focusing on the far and few between that have caused problems

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 231**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 232**

Tesco use cones to keep the bay free when their delivery is due. There is no need to change anything.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 233**

I support the proposed changes.

The parking that goes on here is dangerous and totally unnecessary.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 235**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 236**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 237**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 238**

I object to the proposed changes.

Not necessary- already provision as the goods vehicles arrive before midday for Tesco. So the parking for customers is only after then.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 239**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 241**

I object to the proposed changes.

We need more parking not less parking in the High Street. It's often impossible to find a spot in either the High Street or the carpark - more restrictions will just reduce the number of people willing to drive round and round looking for parking to use the local shops.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 242**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 243**

As above

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 245**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 246**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 247**

I object to the proposed changes.

I get the issue but this is overboard to resolve it. Install bollards to drop down after 12:00.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 248**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 249**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 250**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 252**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 254**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 257**

I support the proposed changes.

I agree that parking in this location is a problem. In addition to restricting goods vehicles, parked vehicles reversing out of spaces outside Tesco presents a traffic hazard,, being opposite the West Street junction and a nearby pedestrian crossing. These parking spaces are a danger to passing traffic.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 258**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 259**

I object to the proposed changes.

This will also be empty for most of the day as trucks seem to work well to stay within current hours

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 262**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 263**

(no comment supplied).

Part of the difficulty her is the closeness of the pedestrian crossing, and the difficulty of the 2 nearby junctions, which hold up the traffic both ways. I would also suggest removing one space of road parking just after the Hospice shop in the High Street, as it is quite tight for cars to pull out to overtake the parked cars.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 264**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 265**

I object to the proposed changes.

Sadly, another very bad idea. Why would you restrict it all day until 8pm when it is only needed until 12 noon? Just so it can sit empty all afternoon until 8pm. Again, this will just stop people going to Tesco. I suggest this is policed properly and the sign is more obvious. I have lived in West Malling for six years and always parked outside Tesco until I got a ticket about a year ago. I had NO idea it was a loading bay until 12 noon. I now only park there if there is nowhere else to park and always only after 12 noon. The sign needs to be clearer so people are aware, and you need regular traffic inspectors to monitor it. If everyone who parked there got a ticket before noon it would soon sink in. You would only need to do this for a few months, plus making the sign bigger. Parking is always going to be an issue in a small town when our council keeps letting new housing developments go through!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 266**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 267**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 268**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 270**

I support the proposed changes.

This will need to be policed to prevent it being ignored in the same way that it is now.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 273**

I object to the proposed changes.

If the loading bay is used by lorries 1hr of the day, why impose restrictions on it for the remaining 12.5hrs of the day? There is already a shortage of parking on the High Street. Have you pillocks thought about how this space would be made good use of? Or are you just trying to find ways to fine people?

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 274**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 276**

I object to the proposed changes.

I use West Malling High Street for my local shop. Seriously if you introduce parking restrictions this will put me off visiting these shops. In a time when the retail industry needs all the support it can get, this would be a very foolish move. Eventually with a drop in trade - the shops in West Malling will close resulting in a loss of employment, and business rates for the local authority! Don't make these changes.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 277**

I object to the proposed changes.

The deliveries are only in the morning. You cannot park there until midday. The rest of the day this is a useful bay for people to pop into Tesco and changes over frequently. This works well. When people park there in the morning they get a ticket. This does not need to change. No deliveries come in the evening and this precludes people from popping into the shop on their way home. It will massively affect people's decisions to come to this shop. Then we lose Tesco what then?????? This begins a whole chain of events.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 278**

I object to the proposed changes.

There is a lack of parking already

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 280**

Why would you want to reduce the number of spaces available? Dual use is much more sensible

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 282**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 283**

I support the proposed changes.

It will reduce congestion and inconsiderate parking blocking bus bay and causing dangerous back up around the zebra crossing.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 284**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 285**

I support the proposed changes.

Support this if the rest of the high street does not have parking fees associated

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 286**

I object to the proposed changes.

It's really not such a big problem and is useful to be able to park directly outside Tesco.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 289**

I object to the proposed changes.

I've lived around here for 7 years the bus stop sited far too close to the zebra crossing and often causing obstruction to the crossing and causing issues with people wanting to turn right down by lovely Chinese. People park diagonally across the bay to allow more parking. I had never realised it was only a loading bay part time. The signage is not clear on that where ever that signage is. Changing the hours isn't going to change people parking there, but just again cause a rift between councils and the people who actually live here.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 290**

I object to the proposed changes.

As above

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 291**

I support the proposed changes.

The use of parking bays outside Tesco close to the zebra crossing are far more obstructive!

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 292**

I object to the proposed changes.

Joint Transportation Board – 4th March 2024

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses)

There is no need to change the current arrangements. Removing convenient parking for shoppers for the majority of the day is a sub-optimal solution. Simply enforce the existing rules more effectively.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 293**

I object to the proposed changes.

This proposal is not an alteration to the loading bay, but to its operating times. This may not stop obstructive parking - once again there should be strong enforcement action against people who park selfishly.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 294**

I object to the proposed changes.

The existing restrictions are sufficient and just need to be implemented properly

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 296**

I object to the proposed changes.

This is a small shop it doesn't need so long for deliveries. For some people with difficulty walking due to temporary problems eg waiting for hip replacement back problems etc but not got a blue badge this proposal could affect them.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 297**

I object to the proposed changes.

I rarely see cars blocked in by goods vehicles and if it does happen then it always seems to be sorted out amicably. If you do this, these bays will be empty most of the day as to the frustration of those needing to park, as goods vehicles are rare sights.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 299**

I object to the proposed changes.

You'll reduce the income of local businesses by making it difficult to park. With one hand you're proposing letting people park longer than 1 hour in bays but occupying the already limited parking and taking away even more spaces which permit quick trips to the shop..

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 301**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 302**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 303**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 304**

I support the proposed changes.

The current arrangement is terribly dangerous - not the vehicles unloading for Tesco's who park parallel to the pavement but the vehicles which park when not reserved for unloading who reverse out onto the busy High Street and in such close proximity to the pedestrian crossing. I never park in these spaces as I think they are far too dangerous to use

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 305**

I object to the proposed changes.

I am writing to express my objection to the proposed changes in the loading bay policy outside Tesco in West Malling High Street. While I understand that there have been issues with obstructive parking and the misuse of the loading bay, I believe that the proposed changes may not be the most effective solution to address these problems.

As the Managing Director of several companies and a parent who values convenience, I appreciate the importance of ensuring smooth traffic flow and accessibility for both businesses and residents. However, I have reservations about the proposed changes for the following reasons:

Impact on Deliveries and Businesses:

The current loading bay operating until noon, Monday to Saturday, allows businesses to receive deliveries and make collections efficiently during the morning hours. Changing this policy may disrupt the supply chain for local businesses, including Tesco, and affect their ability to serve customers effectively.

Inconvenience for Shoppers:

While obstructive parking is undoubtedly a concern, the proposed changes might inconvenience shoppers who rely on convenient parking options when visiting local stores. This could potentially discourage customers from frequenting businesses in the area.

**Enforcement Challenges:** 

Ensuring that the new policy is enforced effectively may present logistical challenges. It is essential to consider the resources required for monitoring and enforcing the revised loading bay regulations and whether these resources could be better utilized elsewhere.

## Alternative Solutions:

Instead of changing the loading bay hours entirely, I encourage the council to explore alternative solutions to address the issue of obstructive parking. This could include increased signage and education campaigns to raise awareness among drivers about the importance of respecting loading bay regulations.

I believe that a more balanced approach that takes into account the needs of both businesses and the community is necessary. Engaging in a dialogue with local businesses and residents to find a solution that addresses the problems while minimizing disruption should be a priority.

I kindly request that the council reconsider the proposed changes and work collaboratively with the community to develop a solution that effectively addresses the issues at hand without adversely affecting local businesses and residents.

Thank you for considering my objections, and I hope that the council will make a decision that benefits the entire West Malling community.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 306**

I object to the proposed changes.

Leave well alone!!!

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 307**

I object to the proposed changes.

Leave alone let people shop the high st easily!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 308**

I object to the proposed changes.

Goods vehicles can be allowed in by Tescos staff simply putting up their posts and swags every morning when they come to work and removing them at 12 noon or whenever. If someone is caught inside the barrier they'll soon learn the rules!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 310**

(no comment supplied).

N/A I know where this is but am not a local and so have no opinion on this decision

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 311**

I object to the proposed changes.

I think improved (larger) signage would improve the situation to prevent abuse.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 315**

I object to the proposed changes.

If implemented this will have a detrimental affect on West Malling shops. There are insufficient parking spaces any way

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 316**

I object to the proposed changes.

Have a more prominent sign making it clear when parking IS allowed and issue penalties in first few weeks, people will soon learn the ropes.

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 320

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 322**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 323**

I object to the proposed changes.

There are insufficient parking spaces in West Malling and this would reduce the number further

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 326**

I support the proposed changes.

Utterly pointless as it won't be enforced, can cameras be installed to issue fines?

## On-Street Response - Reference Number 327

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 330**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 333**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 335**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 336**

I object to the proposed changes.

You need to enforce the existing rules which are quite clear. Your proposal misses the point. If you don't enforce the existing rules, the answer isn't to change the rules. What exactly will be different in practice? It seems as if the bottom line is financial gain rather than anything useful for local residents. And of course by reducing the number of parking spots in the high street you will be driving shoppers away or pushing them to park longer term in the Fartherwell Avenue area to the annoyance of the local residents - including me.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 337**

I object to the proposed changes.

See comments in 7 above

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 338**

6.30am to 8pm seems very excessive.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 340**

I support the proposed changes.

As a retired Tesco worker I have seen first hand the chaos caused by cars parked outside the store. So I fully support the proposal

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 341**

I object to the proposed changes.

As above

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 343**

I object to the proposed changes.

There are a number of senior citizens in West Malling who will find it difficult to take heavy shopping down the road to a metered car park. Tesco shoppers should have access to accessible parking to load their shopping. Parents also need to pick up their children from the school run buses.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 348**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 351**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 354**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 358**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 359**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 360**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 362**

I object to the proposed changes.

This could potentially cause traffic congestion in the middle of the High Street.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 363**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 366**

I object to the proposed changes.

Loading bays should be for all, not a few goods vehicles, goods vehicle should not have priority over other vehicle users, that may need to load into their vehicles, how is that fair?

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 367**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 371**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 373**

I object to the proposed changes.

I only use this area if I need to get heavy shopping from Tesco. It feels like you are penalising locals for the behaviour of visitors. Maybe consider allowing locals who pay for a parking permit to park there for an hour?

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 374**

I object to the proposed changes.

This will be a waste of time. I fail to see how will it solve the problem if the council do not enforce the current restrictions. This is obviously the case up to noon as it is stated that drivers ignore the restrictions causing obstruction. Extending the timing will make no difference to the levels of obstruction if enforcement doesn't occur as a deterrent.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 375**

I object to the proposed changes.

If 6.30 until noon is enough time for deliveries currently then how would extending the times help anyone?!

It would just sit unused for an extra 8 hours a day?

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses)

Surely just more severe punishments for offenders would be better than taking away quick free parking for those who visit Tesco.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 378**

I object to the proposed changes.

I agree with the problem stated with the existing arrangement but the answer is again to issue parking fines to those people who park there before noon (or whatever time is stipulated).

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 380**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 386**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay must only be used once a day for the delivery so it is absurd it would be off limits to park for over 15 hours per day.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 388**

I support the proposed changes.

Again it won't make any difference, when all the charges come about re: parking in West Malling, nobody will be shopping in Tesco. The parking charges will add to there shopping bill so people will use the big Tesco in larkfield (or just maybe you will charge for their car park as well)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 391**

I object to the proposed changes.

Bad for local businesses

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 392**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 395**

I support the proposed changes.

The loading bay is close to the pedestrian crossing and you take your life in your hands trying to cross the road when the parked cars are revered back on to the High Street.

## On-Street Response - Reference Number 399

I object to the proposed changes.

Please find a way to enforce the current parking arrangement. I have noticed the changes to cars parking before the 12.00pm start time has massively intensified over the past couple of years. I really believe that banning the use of the space for smaller vehicles altogether will just mean the law abiding car drivers are inconvenienced and those who

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses) currently don't care but park when and where they shouldn't will have even more opportunity to do so. As parking in West Malling is at such a premium, the loss of those 5 spaces from 12.00 onwards will be a problem.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 400**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 401**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 404**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 406**

I support the proposed changes.

Sensible.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 407**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 409**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 410**

I object to the proposed changes.

Tesco have managed this over the years and I see no need to alter the present arrangements.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 413**

I object to the proposed changes.

Reducing the parking will just encourage people to park on double yellow lines meaning more dangerous parking.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 415**

I object to the proposed changes.

This will mean losing around 6 parking spaces. Many that use the loading bay as parking are only there for a short time.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 416**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 417**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 418**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 421**

I object to the proposed changes.

It's a Tesco metro and needs easy parking for customers needing a few items, it's a very busy little store.

I agree parking should be after 12 noon to allow the loading bay to be used by Tesco deliveries.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 424**

I object to the proposed changes.

we need the parking

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 425**

I object to the proposed changes.

See above answer- number 7

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 426**

I object to the proposed changes.

There are already not enough spaces

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 428**

I object to the proposed changes.

Tesco only ever had deliveries in the morning and anyone parking in there et this time have been subject to fixed penalty tickets if caught. It would be a waste to make it unloading and loading and people would still park there regardless

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 430**

Where will alternative places to park be situated - you are currently just taking parking spaces away

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 431**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 432**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 435**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 437**

I object to the proposed changes.

I pass through west Malling high street daily and it is nonsense that significant problems are caused here. People stopping in the bus stop causes issues!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 438**

I object to the proposed changes.

I feel this would restrict visitor parking further and also, the loading bay is unsightly on the high street. I think it would be more appropriate to use the car park as a loading bay to be further out of sight so people can better appreciate the lovely high street, rather than a continuous stream of large vehicles obstructing the view.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 445**

I object to the proposed changes.

Where do you expect people popping into small local shops to park. It's really not that bad to introduce this.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 446**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 447**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 448**

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses)

This is back to the issues of parking wardens 'policing' the issue and issuing tickets. Surely this is a better use of generating revenue. it will have a knock on effect of displaying parking to other areas.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 454**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 455**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 456**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 457**

I support the proposed changes.

Although I support keeping the loading bay clear, I do not agree with this until 8pm, I do not agree with extending the 5.30pm as on street parking is currently.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 458**

I support the proposed changes.

Nobody understands the current rules around the Tesco parking bay, Making them all day and consistent would improve the situation. However, there needs to be proper enforcement. Otherwise, what is the point?

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 460**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 462**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 463**

I object to the proposed changes.

I strongly oppose the introduction of on-street parking charges in West Malling Highstreet and Swan Street.

When driving through West Malling I will often stop when there is a parking space on the road to quickly pop into one of the small independent shops, the post office, charity shops or to grab a quick coffee. If I had to spend the time to do the meter, especially if I didn't have much time, didn't have any change on me or the app wasn't working (the 3G/4G phone signal in the Highstreet is extremely poor), I and many others would choose to go somewhere else more convenient instead. I have absolutely no doubt that the shops, cafes, restaurants and pubs will suffer

Joint Transportation Board – 4th March 2024

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses) significantly as a result of this and eventually we will lose another one of our highstreets, which already has numerous empty shop spaces. This is why I am strongly opposing these on-street parking charges.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 464**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 467**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 470**

I support the proposed changes.

I support this but I am concerned about any reduction in parking provision. Also the congestion caused by the need to allow drivers to reverse out of the loading bay can have the benefit of forcing traffic to slow down.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 471**

I support the proposed changes.

If people park here they are clowns and will get a ticket. You can see the parking wardens standing there watching them park and putting a ticket on them! However i am not sure West Malling High Street needs a dedicated loading bay restricted to certain vehicles for the 1 delivery a day Tesco has ??? How would this be enforced more than currently?

Obviously the main issue with getting rid of the loading bay is the Tesco lorry blocking the street during deliveries, so there needs to be a bay for it i am just not sure how this will be enforced more than it currently is and it seems a waste of space for the other 13 hours a day they dont have a delivery.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 473**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 474**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 475**

I object to the proposed changes.

Completely unnecessary for how often vehicles are there.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 480**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 481**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 483**

I object to the proposed changes.

West Malling lacks parking and this limits the success of its businesses. While the current setup poses minor risks, including to local bus services who have been very vocal on the subject, these proposals are disproportionate and should be abandoned.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 484**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 485**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 486**

I object to the proposed changes.

The proposals are just a money-making exercise to try and get more money out of the people of West Malling as the Council is hard-up. If they go ahead they will really damage the high st which would be an awful pity just for the Council's greed. If you talk to people you do not hear at all that they want increased on-road parking charges. In fact they want lower charges for this. They are also not bothered about the Tesco loading bay parking situation and like it the way it is. Sometimes there is a small bit of congestion in the town, but I have never heard it bothers anyone at all, indeed it is normal to have some in a busy thriving town. The proposals are a very thinly veiled attempt to siphon off more money from the people of West Malling that is just not needed.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 487**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 489**

I object to the proposed changes.

Seems to work ok at the moment!

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 491

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses)

The current system works fine. If that loading bay is designated only to Tescos they will be having deliveries at all hours of the day: havin restricted hours on that Loading Bay is a much better stratgey.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 492**

(no comment supplied).

3. Parking in the 'Loading Bay' outside Tesco - A little more difficult - It is very convenient for a quick visit, and, I would say, empty of delivery vehicles for probably 70% of a week. However it is most important that it is clear when needed. Perhaps, clearer notices of delivery times could be displayed. That is something that needs further discussion with the staff at Tesco.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 495**

I support the proposed changes.

This is the only proposal in the entire consultation I can agree with. The problems identified are very concerning, as it makes the pedestrian crossing dangerous, the pavement narrowed by overhanging vehicles and buses unable to utilise the bus stop properly. Your proposals don't go far enough, though. There must be a restriction that vehicles must park parallel to the pavement and not at 90 degrees. A significant number of the problems are because vehicles overhang the pavement and outside the bay, blocking the carriageway. However, the existing restrictions are often flouted so unless there is meaningful enforcement and sufficient penalties drivers will continue to abuse the situation.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 497**

(no comment supplied).

Unable to comment as not local to this area.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 498**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 500**

I object to the proposed changes.

Use traffic enforcement then!! Penalise the abusers!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 505**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 506**

I object to the proposed changes.

Difficult for people to access a quick park up and shop. The businesses will suffer. Look at other options like clear signage and coloured street markings

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 507**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 508**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 510**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 513**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 514**

I object to the proposed changes.

We can see no beneficial reason for keeping this space for the exclusive use of large commercial vehicles which could appear to be for the primary use of one shop. Currently during the day it is often used by people to do short stops and suggest that the waiting time there should be restricted to 20 minutes.

The extended time suggested for exclusive use of large commercial vehicles will encourage these large commercial vehicles to arrive throughout the day often during busy periods causing congestion and inconvenience to other road users as the approach roads to West Malling are all fairly narrow. It would have a detrimental effect to all other businesses

As stated in the response to question 7 due to the road closure already in place for West Malling Farmers Market any restrictions should be suspended on the day of the road closure. The farmers market and Tescos work together on Farmers Market days so their deliveries are completed before the road closure order takes effect. Tescos are aware of the dates of the farmers market and in accordance with the road closure order businesses have been advised of the farmers market dates and signage is in place prior to the farmers market to remind people it will be taking place.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 516**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## On-Street Response - Reference Number 522

I object to the proposed changes.

Maybe make it a proper parking area then people won't abuse it.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 526**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 527**

I object to the proposed changes.

There should be disabled parling here.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 528**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 531**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 532**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay would remain empty for most of the time.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 534**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 535**

I support the proposed changes.

This makes sense

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 537**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay is very well used by people nipping into Tesco and Boots especially. I think this proposal would be difficult to enforce and would result in even more problems in the High Street with people cruising around, trying to find somewhere to park for a very short period.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 538**

I object to the proposed changes.

Would it be possible to have a couple of bays for disabled access to Tescos and then restrictions on the rest of the bays?

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 539**

I object to the proposed changes.

Joint Transportation Board – 4th March 2024

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 540**

I support the proposed changes.

I support this on the basis of my response above to enable some free parking to remain appreciating the difficulties for goods vehicles to deliver.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 541**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 542**

I object to the proposed changes.

Clearer signage is required to show loading times only. Again one hour free parking out of the loading time would be reasonable.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 543**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 545**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 546**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 547**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 548**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 550**

I object to the proposed changes.

The focus should be on stopping the people who cause the problem and park illegally. Not on punishing everyone who uses it. I often use this bay after 12 to dash into the chemist or to grab something from a local shop.

Joint Transportation Board – 4th March 2024

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 551**

I object to the proposed changes.

It should be possible to restrict cars parking in this area while deliveries are being made. It seems an overreaction to ban cars from parking in the loading bay in order to accommodate a comparatively small amount of time spent with deliveries. However, there does need to be a larger gap between the loading bay and the crossing.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 552**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay is not used during the day so suggest it's relocated if that's the need. People usually park there for very short periods of time which support the economy of the village

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 553**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 554**

I support the proposed changes.

Whilst the extra spaces are useful for popping to Tesco the potential danger of reversing out of these space into a narrow part of the road and by a pedestrian crossing outweigh the amenity. Also the bus stop is right next to it - so it can become quite dangerous. My Mother was nearly hit by a car not looking the other day in exactly this spot! It's an accident waiting to happen. But I think it will require some kind of chain/physical block to dissuade cars from still parking there.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 556**

I support the proposed changes.

Private car drivers in the parking bay inhibit not only access for deliveries but cause confusion and congestion for traffic coming from West Street into the High Street and also exiting High Street into West Street. This can cause chaos and danger at the pedestrian crossing.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 558**

I object to the proposed changes.

As above - not enough parking spaces available for demand in the high street

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 565**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 568**

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses)

the cards parked outside Tesco rarely obstruct traffic and I can't see how stopping parking in this area in away way prevents people from parking across the bus stop. the two areas although close together aren't linked! parking outside Tesco, I would assume is great for Tesco's as people pop in to stop there as they can park easily. Unless Tesco are complaining I can't see why you would wish to change the current situation.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 569**

I object to the proposed changes.

As you mention people chose to ignore, so they will also ignore if you extend these hours. I understand this needs to be clear for deliveries in which it was extended past lunchtime but if this area is not being used then I think it should be allowed for parking. Put a keep clear on the parking space closest to the bus stop to stop people from parking there - this will allow extra space for the bus.

Also on a Sunday, if the loading bay isn't used then this should be allowed all day.

Make it easier for parking and stop restricting so much!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 570**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 571**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 572**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 575**

I object to the proposed changes.

What's the point? The tesco lorry only comes once a morning. Vans park wherever they want anyway and you do nothing about it.

Please let us leave TMBC when West Malling leaves the parliamentary constituency of Tonbridge and Malling. You only care about Tonbridge.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 576**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 577**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 578**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 579**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 580**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 581**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 582**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 584**

I object to the proposed changes.

The same arguments apply as to the above. Reducing the amount of free parking on the high street in West Malling will have a detrimental effect on the twin and businesses.

Policing the current parking regulations effectively would negate the reasons given to make these changes.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 585**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 586**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 587**

Maybe 6.30 until 2pm but can't say I have seen deliveries unloaded much after then.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 588**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 589**

I object to the proposed changes.

I have lived in the town for over four years and have rarely, if ever, seen a problem.

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 591**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay is only used by Tesco at certain times of the day. The bays are used frequently for quick stops. NO NEED to change this arrangement

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 592**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 593**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 595**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 597**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 598**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 599**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 601

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 603**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 607**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 610**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 611**

I object to the proposed changes.

The council should ensure that they police the loading bays and follow up with the appropriate penalties. If people are getting away with breaking the rules currently, then efforts should be concentrated on enforcing the current regulations and not extending them.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 612**

I support the proposed changes.

Does cause significant problems with people waiting and reversing into the street and has a safety impact on the zebra crossing for pedestrians

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 613**

I support the proposed changes.

Better enforcement would have prevented the problems here

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 615**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 618

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 619**

I object to the proposed changes.

Joint Transportation Board – 4th March 2024

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 620**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 621**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 624**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 627**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 628**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 629**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 630**

I object to the proposed changes.

Keep it as it is. No parking until 12pm.

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 631

I object to the proposed changes.

As above

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 632**

I object to the proposed changes.

Failure to properly patrol and enforce the existing regulations is no reason the penalise legitimate use at times when it is permitted. That area is much used in the afternoons by persons collecting prescriptions from Boots.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 633**

I support the proposed changes.

Careless parking affects pedestrian flow in front of Tesco as the footway is not wide enough and cars overhang the footway.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 634**

I object to the proposed changes.

The loading bay provides several car park spaces. I have rarely seen issues with lorries delivering as the High St is particularly wide at this point. The loss of these car parking spaces will be detrimental to the town and have a significant negative impact upon the local businesses.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 635**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 636**

I object to the proposed changes.

Signs for no parking before midday NEED to be bigger and more visible to drivers. I have made the mistake of popping in one morning for 5 mins with my son before school for 1 item and as I came out the parking wardens informed me it was no parking till after midday - I totally hadnt realised and hadn't seen the sign which was pointed out to me at the time and quite high on the pole. Perhaps others are making the same mistake? As a frequent user of those spaces cars that use those spaces are not there all day there is often cars are in and out. More often than not there are cones there which helps act as a big visual prompt to drivers I assume from tescos. Is it actually needed for loading for all those hours as it will then be an unused usable soace!? These spaces are perfect to be able to pop to use the ATM or into tesco for a loaf of bread or drink or tin of soup. It could be kept as a loading bay till midday with more improved visible signs. I suspect some people will likely park there during loafing times or not so there will still be a need to 'police' the parking with wardens?

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 637**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 638**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 639**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 640

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 641**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 642**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 643**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 646**

I object to the proposed changes.

Again, it is convenient for elderly, disabled and those with small children to make a quick trip to the supermarket. It's rare anyone parks there longer than an hour at most. Never witness any problems with people parking there and I am in West Malling High Street daily.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 647**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 648**

I object to the proposed changes.

I personally don't think this baby should be used for parking at all. Its dangerous, cars reverse out and there have been many near misses with cars turning right into West Street.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 651**

I object to the proposed changes.

At the moment, after the restrictions end at noon, the loading bay provides parking space for 5-6 cars. If this proposal was put into place it would significantly reduce parking capacity on the high street, which is already at a premium and therefore discourage people from stopping to visit the local shops and cafes. The opportunity to park directly outside Tesco also encourages passing trade, which, as I have stated above, is vital for the success of local businesses. If these new restrictions are put in place, they would need to be enforced by traffic wardens in order to be effective. Since this is the case why not simply enforce the current restrictions more robustly, that way Tesco will still be able to receive deliveries, and parking will still be available for shoppers in the afternoons.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 652**

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses) I don't think this will be effective. Just place an obstruction like cones or something there during loading bay times to ensure cars don't park there at those times and this problem will disappear.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 653**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 654**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 655**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 656**

I support the proposed changes.

I support this alongside the retention of a minimum 1 hour free parking with charges only applicable thereafter as set out in my previous comments. This would maintain an offer that would not put people off coming to the High Street.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 659**

I object to the proposed changes.

See no reason to change this provide more enforcement of regulations

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 660**

I object to the proposed changes.

Again what exactly are these plans looking to achieve other than the appearance of a money making scheme with no benefit to the village. These are simply restricting already limited parking within the village. This will only serve to put people off visiting local businesses so they sadly will suffer. With these restrictions, This bay will be empty most of the day as deliveries are not that frequent. A waste of prime village o space.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 661**

I object to the proposed changes.

Parking is hard in the high street at the best of times for us school run mums and dads I think its the wrong idea to charge. The sarounding areas will be affected.

## On-Street Response - Reference Number 662

I object to the proposed changes.

Need the space to park to help elderly and disabled people

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 663**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 664**

I object to the proposed changes.

No parking before 12 noon is more than acceptable. The High Street is very wide in the Tesco area and can accommodate white van parking. Larger Tesco lorries deliver early morning and or the area is coned off as the lay-by is required. However if these restrictions go ahead Tesco and other retailers will not require as many deliveries due to less clients

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 666**

I object to the proposed changes.

I object to to loosing another 7 car parking in the High Street.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 667**

I object to the proposed changes.

We are loosing more car parking.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 668**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 669**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 670**

I object to the proposed changes.

Are there deliveries from 6:30am to 8:00pm everyday? That is insane for a tiny village considering the amount of shops. Tell Tesco to get better organised at their delivery schedule - assuming their stock is coming locally anyway. Blocking vital parking spaces for a billion £ company that can't get there schedules in order seems crazy.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 671**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 672**

I object to the proposed changes.

Due to the limited disabled bays in the area, this will cause more disruption removing the loading bay. It will also cause issues to the top of swan street as this seems to currently be a spot that disabled drivers park causing issues for larger vehicles going up or down swan street.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 674**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 675**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 676**

I support the proposed changes.

The current parking outside Tesco is dangerous and an accident waiting to happen.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 678**

I object to the proposed changes.

Parking is limited in the town and this proposal reduces the spaces available

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 679**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 681**

I object to the proposed changes.

It's not a problem

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 685**

I object to the proposed changes.

My grandparents are elderly and need these bays to get there food shopping. My nan has cancer and she waits in the car while my grandad runs in. Without these space you would make life more strained on the elderly that rely on door to door access. If you want to make a change, make more parents and disabled parking in this Bay Area when out of use of loading.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 689**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 693**

I support the proposed changes.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 694**

I object to the proposed changes.

I expect those same drivers will ignore any changes you make anyway.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 695**

I object to the proposed changes.

This would ruin out high street with already struggling local businesses

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 696**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 697**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 700**

I object to the proposed changes.

This is completely unnecessary. This is a flexible parking space that works. Again, it will push more people visiting the town to park in my resident parking.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 703**

I object to the proposed changes.

Why ruin this flexible parking space which allows shoppers to pop in to Tesco and other shops and grab someting. The space currently works and takes pressure off other parking areas so why change it and increase the pressure on already limited parking?

Again, if the parking enforcement staff were actually deployed more often you would achieve your goal of making money, by ticketing people who park illegally rather than killing off the passing trade in the town by creatiung an unnecessary permanent loading bay.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 704**

I object to the proposed changes.

I agree improvements are required but only 6 days of the week excluding Sundays and Bank Holidays as deliveries do not occur on these days and it will free up the bays for visitors on those days when it is not used.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 705**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 709**

I object to the proposed changes.

A great place to stop to run in to grab a pint - everywhere else is going to be chargeable as it is!!!

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 711**

I object to the proposed changes.

I do not know if the loading bay is for use solely by Tesco? Or do other businesses in the area have access? If the hours are going to be extended by such an amount (until 8 p.m?) will/can other businesses have access to this space to load and unload their vans without risking being fined.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 714**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 719**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 724**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 725**

I object to the proposed changes.

There is already limited parking during busy periods of the day.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 726**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 728**

I object to the proposed changes.

In 17 years I can count on one hand the number of times there has ever been a problem in this loading bay. There is already limited parking spaces in west Malling. Taking away this extra parking at the end of the day is ridiculous especially when all the new housing estates are being built all around West Malling

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 729**

I support the proposed changes.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 730**

I object to the proposed changes.

I am a pensioner and park in the loading bay spaces regularly to shop in the High Street, I cannot afford to now pay parking charges so would not shop in West Malling anymore if charges are introduced.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 731**

I object to the proposed changes.

This reduces the parking dramatically already. I object as this will destroy the life of west Malling. There are many local businesses that run out of west Malling, you place the parking charges in - means less people who come to west Malling. Surely we should be increasing the people supporting local businesses, not reducing.

I am very involved in the life of the church and within the week, we have many things going on, putting these parking charges means it's more stressful for people to attend the church events/services and therefore will not happen. This includes funerals...on an already very sad day, you're increasing the pressures and strains on them.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 733**

I object to the proposed changes.

This will be inconvenient to shoppers.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 734**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 735**

I object to the proposed changes.

At the moment Tesco already make local businesses feel as if this is their loading bay so it is difficult for other local business who do not have rear access to use.

People frequently use this parking for popping to the chemist of to get their shopping.

Perhaps a traffic warden should be introduced to be proactive rather than looking to give everyone a ticket - it is unfortunate that the crossing is directly by this parking area and on the turning from West Street - this causes a lot of issues, along with a vast number of drivers that speed through West Malling rather than abide by the 20 miles per hour restriction.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 736**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 737**

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses) Leave current loading time up until 12 noon but introduce a parking charge which could be wholly or partly refunded at Tesco upon purchasing items. Many other supermarkets do this particularlyinTonbridge. Tesco could see a customer reduction which could affect the long-term viability of the store. Closure of Tesco would be an enormous loss to West Malling.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 742**

I support the proposed changes.

Allowing people to park in the loading bay at some times and not others is confusing. People often cause a hazard on the High Street by hanging around for a space in the loading bay, and by backing out of the bay into busy traffic. This parking should not be allowed at any time.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 744**

I object to the proposed changes.

I think 6.30 am until noon is sufficient time for heavy goods vehicles to unload. They should not be allowed to unload after noon.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 748**

I object to the proposed changes.

Loading Bays should allow all goods vehicles, not just those over 3.5t. This is not a private loading bay for Tesco's lorries

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 752**

I object to the proposed changes.

A lot of elderly people use these spaces to pop in to Tesco without having to cross the road or use the pedestrian which is dangerous as there is poor visibility round the corner from West Street which causes near misses especially for slower elderly people crossing. They do not stay long so free parking works well for them. Those who transgress the parking rules there should be fined more strictly to stop people parking for longer than allowed.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 753**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 754**

I object to the proposed changes.

There isn't enough parking as it is! Why should Tesco have free parking for their vehicles all day!

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 755**

I object to the proposed changes.

No one drives van . Most loading happens in cars

# On-Street Response - Reference Number 761

I support the proposed changes.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 762**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 763**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 767**

I object to the proposed changes.

Lorries mainly deliver in the morning so not needed later in day!!

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 768**

I object to the proposed changes.

Unless deliveries are received later than midday, there is no point in changing the times - you just need to advertise the times of operation better.

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 769**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 770**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

#### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 771**

(no comment supplied).

See above

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 772**

I object to the proposed changes.

I agree that problems can be caused when cars are left parked overnight in the loading bay. But this is already clearly against the current rules and needs to be better enforced. The current spaces in the loading bay outside Tesco work well in the afternoon and evenings and are handy for people, including those with reduced mobility, who want to pop into Tesco

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 778**

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 779**

I object to the proposed changes.

All the other shops in west malling are able to function without this bay. It is fine as lorries always unload before 12 - if there is an issue with illegal parking in the bay employ more traffic wardens

### **On-Street Response - Reference Number 780**

I object to the proposed changes.

Doesn't make sense. Enforce the current times by ticketing the motorists who flaunt the current rules. Employ more parking wardens, you would make enough to more than cover their salaries,

also ticket the many people, especially in the evenings who park on double yellow lines.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 782**

I object to the proposed changes.

again, the bays should be monitored by traffic wardens and offenders fined.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 784**

I object to the proposed changes.

- 1) Current arrangements seem to work.
- 2) Tesco make clear when goods are arriving.
- 3) Deliveries should primarily be made early in the day anyway.
- 4) The additional parking is very helpful for Tesco shoppers.
- 5) Again, this should be free for an hour.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 787**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 789**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 793**

I object to the proposed changes.

Good luck with that. Again, the case for changing the hours is overstated. I concede that many people park in the bays before midday, but they are swiftly moved along by the Traffic wardens when they are in attendance. The major complaint seems to be that the signage is too small and once the TW's point it out, the offenders take it in good spirit. I can safely state this, as our shop window is virtually opposite. Again, it's a case of enforcement of the current rules.

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses)

There can be no gain extending the loading bay hours: the majority of Tesco's deliveries occur in the early hours/mid morning, so who exactly will gain, the chemist?

Commercially, Tesco's can't be in favour, the current regulations do not deter some people from parking there, so unless there is a full time warden in attendance, how will no parking be enforced?

Over and above the practical considerations, the majority of people parking after midday in the bay visit Tesco's on an in and out basis. If that benefit is removed, Tesco's are sure to suffer financially and given they are a cornerstone of the High Street, if they have a ten percent drop in footfall due to the new restrictions, how long before they decide that the store is no longer viable?

It just goes back to improving awareness of the current restrictions and better enforcement.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 795**

I object to the proposed changes.

There are lots of local businesses on the high street with no rear assess that need to load and unload, but do not have 3.5 tonne vehicles. This will end up with more double parking on the high street and cause chaos.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 796**

I object to the proposed changes.

Again, your solution isn't really dealing with the problem you are stating. Why not get CCTV, and photograph and prosecute the people parking there when they shouldn't?

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 797**

I object to the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 798**

I support the proposed changes.

(no comment supplied)

## On-Street Response - Reference Number 799

I object to the proposed changes.

If someone parks badly/obstructs the bus stop etc give them a ticket but don't penalise everyone else. Local residents and visitors often pop into the village for shopping at Tescos which takes 15 - 20 mins. Having to pay for parking will mean that business will be effected.

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 800**

I object to the proposed changes.

We as the customer yse this area for parking. It is convenient popping into the shops for a shirt period of time .

## **On-Street Response - Reference Number 802**

On Street Parking Proposals – Annes 9.3 (WM Loading Bay redacted responses) I cannot see that this proposal would be of any benefit. I assume that Tesco and other traders that want to make use of the area outside Tesco for loading arrange for deliveries to take place before 12 noon. If only goods vehicles were allowed to use the area it would be unoccupied for most of the day and parking spaces would be lost.

# **On-Street Response - Reference Number 804**

I object to the proposed changes.

With regard to the Tesco layby, many cars park there illegally and again enforcement is the answer. How will altering the illegal hours make any difference. It will, however, further reduce parking availability. Have you considered the installation of a camera to catch the offenders?